

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/815,641	SILVERBROOK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel St.Cyr	2876	

All Participants:

(1) Daniel St.Cyr.

(2) Paul Lapstun.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 5 April 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

4, 37, 40, and 42

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 4 dependd on cancelled claim 3, claims 37, 40, and 42 were improper multi-dependent cllaims. Claims 4 has been amended to depend on claim 1 and claims 37, 40 and 42 have been cancelled. In addition an electronic communication authorizing the deletion of "An example of this is shown in figure 615" on page 113, line 7...